SIG-ISM meeting in Zagreb: <https://wiki.geant.org/display/SIGISM/8th+SIG-ISM+Workshop>

Business Continuity Planning - group discussion

22 October 2019

Anastas started the discussion by briefly introducing the work that the GN4-3 Security work package Task 1 team is working on: <https://wiki.geant.org/display/gn43wp8/Business+Continuity+Management+-+Sub-Task>

Comments for consideration :

* Finance and HR are important
* Disaster recovery vs. Business Continuity dilemma
* Should services and applications be included?

James (Jisc, UK) has a plan, but it could be improved. That could be used as a starting point.

Rolf (UNIT, Norway):

Starting to work on it, questionnaire for service managers

Service managers see their services as the most important - management has to take the decision

Rolf can share some materials

Made a calculator to measure how important the service is (based on the number of users, etc)

Business impact analysis - basis for making the business continuity plan

Hendrik (DeiC, Denmark):

not covering all of DeiCs activities

clear plan on who can set the plan in action and who makes decisions

services only within the scope of ISO certification

more of a Disaster recovery plan

Urpo (CSC, Finland):

Core of the operational security

All services have to do risk management in the business continuity plans

5-7 risks and related controls

Christoph (ACOnet, Austria):

No formal business continuity management, but will be implemented

NIST directive

Making it as applicable to NRENs as possible = avoiding treating the organisations as usual business?

Christian (University of Applied Sciences Augsburg):

Important: start from impact analysis linked to the risk management

NIST framework has templates that can be used as a starting point

ACTION: Christoph and Henrik volunteered to help Anastas look at the questions.