Streaming Telemetry in zero-footprint monitoring studies in WP6T3

Pavle Vuletic, UoB/AMRES
Marinos Dimolianis, NTUA

Telemetry and Big Data Workshop,

10th November 2020

Public

www.geant.org

Zero-footprint monitoring goals

- (active) performance monitoring usually means adding additional devices (probes) into the PoPs - increased PoP complexity
- Performance - SLA parameter monitoring: delay, jitter, loss
- Can we monitor network service performance using standard based protocols and without the use of any additional equipment in PoPs? (zero footprint)
- Previous issues:
  - Proprietary protocols (Juniper RPM, Cisco SLA)
  - No standard protocol implementation
  - Monitoring probes became small, but even very small or virtual probe mean additional hardware in PoPs
- Other goals: Monitoring path end to end and per-segment, monitoring separate network services

Landscape has changed recently

- TWAMP implementation on Juniper and Cisco devices (Cisco - responder only)
- Virtual services on Cisco - can install Linux on spare CPU cycles and on that Linux e.g. perfSONAR,
- Cisco guestshell - run custom Linux applications, for automated control and management
- Are all these implementations interoperable?
- Can these TWAMP implementations be used for detailed network performance monitoring? (end-to-end and per segment)
- Export the data using streaming telemetry

Two-Way Active Measurement Protocol (TWAMP)

- Host to Host (twping – perfsonar/owamp)
- Host to Router (twping – TWAMP server)
- Router to Router (TWAMP server – client)
- Host to virtual service on a router (twping - twping)
- Virtual service on a router to router or host



Although interoperable, the metric set is not the same

- **Juniper Routers (SNMP)**
  - Round Trip Time (RTT)
  - RTT Jitter
  - RTT Inter-arrival Jitter
  - Egress Jitter
  - Egress Inter-arrival Jitter
  - Ingress
  - Ingress Jitter
  - Ingress Inter-arrival Jitter

Min, Max, Average, StdDev

- **Linux Hosts**
  - Round Trip Time (RTT)
  - Send Time
  - Reflect Time
  - Two Way Jitter
  - Send Jitter
  - Reflect Jitter



Min, Max, Median

Why streaming telemetry?

- Supported on the latest releases of network OSes, although still different transport methods and data models:
  - J: UDP, gRPC, C: NETCONF, gRPC, gNMI
  - J: Juniper, OpenConfig, C: YANG
- Real time monitoring - you can retrieve data even within ms
- More reliable, secure than SNMP
- Expected to have smaller processing requirements in comparison to SNMP
- Use streaming telemetry to subscribe on data that the vendor supports or in programmable data planes stream your own data.

Streaming Telemetry export

- Dial-Out
  - Data subscription is configured in the router (periodic or on-event)
  - Simplicity
- Dial-In
  - Data subscription is defined in the collector
  - Scalability

Cisco Dial-Out Configuration

```
telemetry ietf subscription 98
 encoding encode-kvgpb
 filter xpath /ip-sla-ios-xe-oper:ip-sla-stats
 source-address 172.16.0.82
 stream yang-push
 update-policy periodic 5000
 receiver ip address 172.16.0.252 57000 protocol
grpc-tcp
```

Juniper Dial-In Configuration

```
system {
    services {
        ssh;
        telnet;
        extension-service {
            request-response {
                grpc {
                    ssl {
                        port 32767;
                        local-certificate vMX1;}
                    }
                }
            }
        }
    }
```

Streaming Telemetry Data collection

Telegraf - Configuration

Cisco Dial-Out

```
[[inputs.cisco_telemetry_mdt]]
 transport = "grpc"
 # Address and port to host telemetry listener
 service_address = ":57000"
 [inputs.cisco_telemetry_mdt.aliases]
  ifstats = "ietf-interfaces:interfaces-
state/interface/statistics"
```

Juniper Dial-In

```
 [[inputs.jti_openconfig_telemetry]]
  servers =
["vMX1:32767","vMX2:32767","vMX3:32767","vMX4:32767"]
  username = "XXX"
  password = "XXX"
  client_id = "telegraf"
  sensors = [
"/interfaces/",
"collection /components/ /lldp",
"twampmeasurements /junos/twamp/client/probe-test-results/" ]
```

Experimental evaluation

- Path through the Linux, Juniper and Cisco devices
- Monitor per-segment and end-to-end service performance
- Added latency, jitter
- Test dynamic path changes



How it looked like

15:00: Additional delays are added using the tc tool on selected interfaces of the VS1 and VS2 devices:

- VS1 ens10: 20ms, ens6: 40ms
- VS2 ens6: 40ms, ens10: 60ms

15:10: Traffic is redirected via vMX1-vMX2-VS2-vMX4-vMX3.

15:20: The original path is restored and network traffic is rerouted via the path: vMX1-VS1-vMX3.

15:30: Delays are returned to their original values.

15:40: The Jitter is added to vMX1->vMX3.

15:50: The Jitter is removed from vMX1-vMX3.



Conclusions

- All the twamp session combinations (H->C, H->J, J->C, C-J, ...) gave very similar results and showed reliability over long periods of time
- The network was not heavily loaded
- Monitoring network services using standard based protocols and no additional hardware is possible.
- Streaming the results from both router platforms without any issues
- Setting up some of the elements (virtual service, finding xpaths of the variables for streaming telemetry) not always trivial and not perfectly documented

Soon...

- Zero-footprint Monitoring cookbook (look at the GEANT's announcements)
  - TWAMP interoperability
  - virtual services
  - streaming telemetry
- if interested, send me an email for the final draft.

dd-mm-yyyy

Zero-footprint Monitoring

Milestone <MX.X - Doc Property: Subject>

Grant Agreement No.:	856726
Work Package	<Insert EC work package number (WP1)>
Task Item:	<Insert task number – e.g. Task 2>
Dissemination Level:	<PU (Public), CO (Confidential), CI (Classified)>
Document ID:	<Doc Property: Keywords>
Authors:	Pavle Vuletić (University of Belgrade), Marinos Dimolianis (NTUA/GRNET), Victor Olifer (JISC)

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No. 856726 (GN4-3).

GÉANT
Networks • Services • People

Thank you

Any questions?

www.geant.org

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No. 856726 (GN4-3).